



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/486,069	06/07/1995	DEAN ENGELHARDT	ENZ-5(D8)(C2)	6278
28171 7590 10/31/2011 ENZO BIOCHEM, INC. 527 MADISON AVENUE (9TH FLOOR) NEW YORK, NY 10022				
EXAMINER				
BRUSCA, JOHN S				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1631				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/31/2011		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<p align="center">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	Application No. 08/486,069	Applicant(s) ENGELHARDT ET AL.	
	Examiner JOHN S. BRUSCA	Art Unit 1631	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) JOHN S. BRUSCA (3) _____
- (2) Alexander H. Spiegler (4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 October 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed ☐ 101 ☐ 112 ☐ 102 ☐ 103 ☒ Others
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: none.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

The applicants were informed that the preliminary amendment received 30 September 1992 contained one instruction to insert a phrase at page 105, line 15 of the specification after the phrase "Sig containing.". The pointed to location does not exist in the specification and that portion of the amendment was not entered by the Office. The applicants stated that they reviewed the specification and the amendment of 30 September 1992 and agreed that the amendment contained an inadvertent instruction to amend the specification at a nonexistent location. The applicants stated that they did not want the amendment to page 105, line 15 to be entered into the specification. The examiner stated that the applicants intentions would be communicated to the publications branch of the USPTO.

Applicant recodation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recodation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/JOHN S BRUSCA/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1631